



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,241	07/19/2001	Rod Mancisidor	WHIS1100-2	8688

25094 7590 09/30/2004

GRAY, CARY, WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP  
2000 University Avenue  
E. Palo Alto, CA 94303-2248

EXAMINER

BOOKER, KELVIN E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2121

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004

13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

09/909,241

**Applicant(s)**

MANCISIDOR ET AL.

**Examiner**

Kelvin E Booker

**Art Unit**

2121

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kelvin E Booker.(3) Andrew Ulmer.(2) Victor Morano.(4) N/A.

Date of Interview: 23 September 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: N/A.

Claim(s) discussed: 21-83.

Identification of prior art discussed: Coleman, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0061202.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A general overview of the claims, as well as prospective new claims were discussed. In particular, the focus and scope of previously amended claims 21-53, respective of the potential claims 54-83. Also addressed was the distinguishing characteristics of the proposed new claims with respect to the prior art; the use of fuzzy logic in processing the input data; and human vs. computer intelligence as employed in the claim language.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required